MAY - 5 2008

CLERK'S OFFICE

JUDICIAL PANEL ON NULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

•

DATED: 5 9 08

Tom Dansey

EPUTY CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

APR 18 2008

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

NITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL

MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1871

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-14)

On October 16, 2007, the Panel transferred two civil actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 528 F.Supp.2d 1339 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 107 additional actions have been transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Rufe.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of October 16, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

Clerk of the Panel

FOR THE PANEL:

## IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1871

## **SCHEDULE CTO-14 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

DIST. DIV. C.A. #	CASE CAPTION
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL CAC 2 08-1909 CAC 2 08-1965	Diane Wessel, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.  Christa Cross, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.  Opposed 5/5/08
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN CAN 3 08-1506 CAN 3 08-1598 CAN 3 08-1620	Theresa Farris, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al. Martha Arriola v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al. Carolyn Pruitt, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
ILLINOIS SOUTHERN ILS 3 08-118	Mabel Haywood, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN NCW 1 08-107	William Frady, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
NEW YORK WESTERN NYW 1 08-253	Elizabeth Filipiak, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
OHIO NORTHERN OHN 1 08-693	Deborah A. Ruth, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
OKLAHOMA WESTERN OKW 5 08-278	Donna G. Warren, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.
PUERTO RICO PR 3 08-1289	Raul Zambrana-Quiles, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al.
TEXAS EASTERN TXE 5 08-56	Kay Leeper, et al. v. GlaxoSmithKline
TEXAS SOUTHERN TXS 4 08-839 TXS 4 08-880	Andrew Love, etc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., et al. Bertha Toucet, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.